

1. A report states that employees spend, on average, 80 minutes every working day on personal use of the Internet. A company takes a random sample of 100 employees and finds their mean personal Internet use is 83 minutes with a standard deviation of 15 minutes. The company's managing director claims that his employees spend more time on average on personal use of the Internet than the report states.

Test, at the 5% level of significance, the managing director's claim. State your hypotheses clearly.

**(Total 7 marks)**

1.	$H_0: \mu = 80, \quad H_1: \mu > 80$	B1, B1	
	$z = \frac{83 - 80}{\frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}} = 2$	M1 A1	
	$2 > 1.6449$	(accept 1.645 or better)	B1
	Reject $H_0$ <u>or</u> significant result <u>or</u> in the critical region		M1
	Managing director's claim is supported.		A1      7

-

**Note**1<sup>st</sup> B1 for  $H_0$ . They must use  $\mu$  not  $x, p, \lambda$  or  $\bar{x}$  etc2<sup>nd</sup> B1 for  $H_1$  (must be  $> 80$ ). Same rules about  $\mu$ .1<sup>st</sup> M1 for attempt at standardising using 83, 80 and  $\frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}$ .Can accept  $\pm$ . May be implied by  $z = \pm 2$ 1<sup>st</sup> A1 for + 2 only3<sup>rd</sup> B1 for  $\pm 1.6449$  seen (or probability of 0.0228 or better)2<sup>nd</sup> M1 for a correct statement about "significance" or rejecting  $H_0$  (or  $H_1$ ) based on their  $z$  value and their 1.6449 (provided it is a recognizable critical value from normal tables) or their probability ( $< 0.5$ ) and significance level of 0.05.Condone their probability  $> 0.5$  compared with 0.95 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> M12<sup>nd</sup> A1 for a correct contextualised comment. Must mention "director" and "claim" or "time" and "use of Internet". No follow through.2<sup>nd</sup> M1A1

If no comparison or statement is made but a correct contextualised comment is given the M1 can be implied.

If a comparison is made it must be compatible with statement otherwise M0

e.g. comparing 0.0228 with 1.6449 is M0 or comparing probability 0.9772 with 0.05 is M0

comparing  $-2$  with  $-1.6449$  is OK provided a correct statement accompanies it  
condone  $-2 > -1.6449$  provided their statement correctly rejects  $H_0$ .

**Critical Region**

They may find a critical region for  $\bar{X} : \bar{X} > 80 + \frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}$

$\times 1.6449 = \text{awrt } 82.5$

1<sup>st</sup> M1 for  $80 + \frac{15}{\sqrt{100}} \times (z \text{ value})$

3<sup>rd</sup> B1 for 1.645 or better

1<sup>st</sup> A1 for awrt 82.5

The rest of the marks are as per the scheme.

[7]

1. This was a straightforward starter to the paper and many fully correct solutions were seen. The usual problems with the hypotheses were present (using  $\bar{x}$  not  $\mu$ , thinking  $\mu = 80$  not 83) but the calculation was often correct and a correct statement and conclusion in context usually followed. A small minority compared a  $z$  value with a probability but this error was rare at this level.